

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,247	02/06/2004	Luc Jutras	87367.2200	6878
. 759	90 06/26/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP			LAUX, JESSICA L	
Washington Square Suite 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/772,247	JUTRAS, LUC				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jessica Laux	3635				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period fo	• •						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🛛 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 06/17/2004.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the peel-off membrane used with the adhesive must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear how the step of peeling off a peel-off membrane from the adhesive, as in claim 13, can occur before the adhesive is applied to the curb. Further it is unclear the type of adhesive being used and how it is being used. (i.e. is it an adhesive applied during the installation process whereby the grooves are necessary to help in adhering (such as for a liquid adhesive), or is it a preinstalled adhesive (such as a double sided adhesive tape) with a peel-off membrane that is peeled off during installation.) Clarification is necessary.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Georgeau et al. (5493827).

Regarding claim 1: Georgeau discloses a pitch pocket for sealing a physical penetration of a roof, said pitch pocket comprising: a curb (12) having an inner (30) peripheral surface and an outer peripheral surface (Figure 6), the inner peripheral surface defining an opening (32) for said physical penetration to pass through, said curb

Application/Control Number: 10/772,247

Art Unit: 3635

further defining a base surface (26); an adhesive (24) applied to at least a portion of the base surface (Col. 2, lines 57-59) and adapted to secure the pitch pocket to the roof; and a sealant (14) cast within the opening of said curb and forming a solid seal around the physical penetration (Col. 1, lines 61-63); characterized in that the curb is formed out of a single semi-rigid unit (Col. 4, lines18-19) and is provided with a split (Figure 1at elements 20 and 22) for allowing the installation of the curb around the physical penetration.

Regarding claim 2: A pitch pocket according to claim 1 wherein said curb has an annular shape (Col. 3, line 6, where it is ring shaped).

Regarding claim 3: A pitch pocket according to claim 1 wherein said split is a straight through cut (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 4: A pitch pocket according to claim 3 wherein the straight through cut lies in a plane different from a radial plane (Col. 2, lines 50-51).

Regarding claim 5: A pitch pocket according to claim 1 wherein said outer peripheral surface and said inner peripheral surface of the curb are joined by an upper edge (34) located in a top portion of the opening; and said outer peripheral surface of the curb and said base surface are joined by a lower edge; the outer peripheral surface (38) being slanted from the upper edge at the top of the opening towards the lower edge in order to evacuate any liquid away from the solid seal around the physical penetration (Figures 2 and 6).

Regarding claim 12: Georgeau discloses a method for sealing a physical penetration of a roof using a pitch pocket comprising a curb (12) with an opening and a

Application/Control Number: 10/772,247 Page 5

Art Unit: 3635

split (at 20 and 22), the opening being filled with sealant (14), the method comprising the steps of: spreading two opposed ends of said curb formed by said split, thus opening the curb; placing said opened curb around the penetration; closing said curb by applying an adhesive to join said two opposed ends together; securing said curb to the roof by applying adhesive to at least one portion of a base surface of the curb (Col. 4, lines 10-23); preparing said sealant; casting within the opening of said curb said sealant for forming a solid seal around the physical penetration (Col. 4, lines 23-29); allowing the sealant to cure and to seal the physical penetration.

Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goodman et al. (4928443)

Regarding claim 1: Goodman discloses a pitch pocket for sealing a physical penetration of a roof, said pitch pocket comprising: a curb (12 and 13) having an inner peripheral surface (17) and an outer peripheral surface (14), the inner peripheral surface defining an opening (Figure 1)) for said physical penetration to pass through, said curb further defining a base surface (37); an adhesive applied to at least a portion of the base surface (where flange 37 is formed from member 22 which has an adhesive backed surface) and adapted to secure the pitch pocket to the roof; and a sealant (39) cast within the opening of said curb and forming a solid seal around the physical penetration (Figure 1); characterized in that the curb is formed out of a single semi-rigid unit (Figure 3) and is provided with a split (as shown in Figure 3) for allowing the installation of the curb around the physical penetration.

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claim 11: A pitch pocket according to claim 1 wherein said curb is made out of rubber (Col. 2, lines 59-60) from recycled tires. It should be noted that claim 11 is considered a product-by-process claim. The patentability of the product does not depend on its method of production. Determination of patentability is based on the product itself. See MPEP 2113. If the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the same prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed.Cir.1985). Since the pitch pocket is composed of rubber the claimed limitations are met.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Georgeau et al (543827).

Georgeau discloses the pitch pocket as in claim 12 above, but does not expressly disclose that there is a peel-off membrane that is peeled off the base before securing said curb to the roof. It is well known in the art to have an adhesive for securing having a peel-off membrane and peeling said membrane off before the step of securing. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 3635

time the invention was made to modify the curb of Georgeau to have a peel-off membrane and to peel off said membrane before securing the curb to the roof.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 8-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica Laux whose telephone number is 571-272-8228. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am to 4:00pm (est).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,247 Page 8

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

N. Slack Primary Examina

) JL 00/45/20/

06/15/2006